Notes

Fair West N.A. State Fair Traffic/Parking Concerns Facilitated Meeting #3
Wednesday, June 12, 2019 6:30 p.m. – 8:30
Location: EXPO NM – 300 San Pedro NE, Alice Hoppes African American Pavilion

Goals of this meeting:
- Hear from CABQ Department of Municipal Development (DMD) on their traffic engineering study of the area.
- Begin to develop an action plan for our work together to address and resolve traffic and parking issues in Fair West Neighborhood for the 2019 State Fair and beyond.
  - Organize a petitioning plan for the neighborhood

Meeting Outcomes:
1) What was agreed to:
   a) The area(s) to be considered for permanent permit-only parking within FWNA:
      i) The neighborhood has requested that three separate areas be surveyed by petition to determine level of support for permanent permit-only parking within FWNA.
      ii) Councilor Davis stated that if there is not support throughout an entire area, it would be possible to further restrict the permitted section based on the petition results. A smaller area where there is at least 51% support might be given permit only parking, with the caveat that the smaller area must be contiguous; no skipping blocks.
   b) Description of the 3 areas to be surveyed:
      Note: The north boundary of all three areas is the same: Lomas.
      While there are no residences on Lomas, by making Lomas the boundary, all the residences on the north/south streets up to Lomas are included in the area.
      Note: The south boundary of all three areas is the same: Domingo, both sides of the street.
      i) First area: San Pedro to Cardenas.
         (1) The east boundary is San Pedro. Note that there are no residences on San Pedro, but by making San Pedro the boundary, all the residences on the east/west streets up to San Pedro are included in the area.
         (2) The west boundary is Cardenas, both sides of the street.
      ii) Second area: Cardenas to Valencia.
         (1) The east boundary is Cardenas.
         (2) The west boundary is Valencia, both sides of the street.
      iii) Third area: Valencia to Alvarado.
         (1) The east boundary is Valencia.
         (2) The west boundary is Alvarado, both sides of the street.
   c) Request for separate consideration of Copper Ave between San Pedro and Alvarado
      i) Neighbors felt that even if there is insufficient support for permit-only parking west of Cardenas, that there would likely be support for permit-only parking on Copper all the way from San Pedro to Alvarado.
      ii) Councilor Davis stated that if petition results supported permit-only parking on Copper, based on the opinions of neighbors whose residences line both sides of Copper, he would consider a way to implement restrictions there.
   d) A team of “Petition Team leaders” formed to organize the petitioning process. (see Petition Process Schedule below)

2) Next steps:
   a) Councilor Davis will provide information about the petition process from City website.
   b) Councilor Davis will provide the language of each of the three petitions.
   c) “Petition Team leaders” will organize and complete the petition canvas by July 15.
   d) State Fair management will include additional information about parking restrictions, enforcement, and the drop-off area in the State Fair advertising.
   e) State Fair management will take steps to work with the city to get Park and Ride for Dollar day this year

Next Meeting: July 23, 2019 6:30 – 8:30
### Action Items from this meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By When</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>By Whom</th>
<th>Status &amp; Next steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7/1/19</td>
<td>Complete petitions for permit parking</td>
<td>FWNA</td>
<td>Submit to DMD &amp; Councilor Davis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Ask FWNA to email and remind city staff of the importance of having DMD enforcing parking during the State Fair.</td>
<td>Councilor Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>Schedule meeting with DMD about times parking enforcement is needed during 2019 fair.</td>
<td>FWNA Board</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In time for 2019 State Fair advertising</td>
<td>State Fair management will publicize the need to be respectful of the neighborhoods and (if implemented) the new restricted parking system in its advertising materials. They will also mention the active enforcement of those restrictions.</td>
<td>State Fair management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In time for 2019 State Fair advertising</td>
<td>State Fair management will publicize the drop-off location on NM Expo grounds in its advertising materials.</td>
<td>State Fair management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/13/19</td>
<td>Email the statute language governing permit parking and 51% support requirements to Kathleen for distribution. Provide the link to the web page: <a href="https://www.cabq.gov/municipaldevelopment/residents/parking/on-street-parking-permit-application">https://www.cabq.gov/municipaldevelopment/residents/parking/on-street-parking-permit-application</a></td>
<td>Councilor Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/15/19</td>
<td>Print the web page that describes the petition process and related information and provide it to FWNA.</td>
<td>Councilor Davis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP</td>
<td>Discuss with the City the possibility of having Park N Ride on Dollar Day</td>
<td>State Fair management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When appropriate</td>
<td>Add “no parking in FWNA” to the publicity, inc. on website</td>
<td>State Fair management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Petition process schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By When</th>
<th>Priority # &amp; Task</th>
<th>How</th>
<th>By Whom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/13</td>
<td>Write up parameters and send to Kat, Jason, Pat</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leslie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of business 6/19</td>
<td>Develop the petition(s).</td>
<td>Send to Patty.</td>
<td>Jason and Pat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/19</td>
<td>Plan the petition canvassing process.</td>
<td>Team leaders for the petitions</td>
<td>Kathy, Paul, Colton, Dayna, Patty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15</td>
<td>Complete the canvas/petitioning.</td>
<td></td>
<td>FWNA board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23</td>
<td>Next meeting – results of petition and enforcement (need Parking Enforcement to attend)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Meeting Details:

1) Presentation of results of DMD Traffic Study – Jason Downing, Division Manager Parking/Security
   a) Neighbor (N): When was the last traffic study done?
      i) Jason (J): A while ago. Normally traffic studies take a while to complete. This time we were able to use
         data available from past events at NM Expo. We based a lot of our conclusions on this data.
         (1) For example, we used data from the recent Coffee & Chocolate event. We came out during that to
             observe a busier weekend (though not nearly like the State Fair).
         (2) Overall, the study is complete. Now, we’re looking for some input from FWNA regarding what areas
             they want considered for permanent permit parking.
             When the traffic study was conducted, it covered the entire FWNA. The head traffic engineer, Tim
             Brown, wants to know whether year-round permitting should cover the entire FWNA area, all the
             way west to San Mateo, or should it be a smaller area?
         (3) The study does support the need for permitting.
         (4) The next step is getting 51% approval from residents in the area that’s being requested.
   b) N: Do you have any way to gauge complaints from the western half of Fair West neighborhood as a way to
      help determine the best area?
      i) J: In the study that was done, they analyzed historical data, including parking citations issued during past
         events. Tim noticed quickly that as you go west, the numbers decrease dramatically, so if you petition
         the entire area, that may affect your percentage of petition signatures.
   c) Facilitator (Fac): You’re saying it might behoove the group to look at a smaller area of greater concern to get
      a higher percentage of the areas most affected.
      i) J: Correct
   d) J: When they did the temporary permitting before, they went 3 or 4 streets west of San Pedro. How far did they
      go?
      i) N: All the way to Alvarado.
   e) J: Was that an effective setup, implementing permits over to Alvarado? Time frames are important—signs
      must be ordered, etc. This study was completed really quickly—kudos to Tim and team.
   f) N: Did past permitting include the businesses along San Pedro?
      i) N: No, the permit-only parking just affects residential areas.
      ii) N: By making San Pedro the boundary, it includes the first block of the east-west streets.
   g) J: So now it’s the neighborhood’s turn to decide the area to be proposed for the permanent parking
      permitting and to get 51% buy-in from residents of that area. I believe the cost aspects have been worked
      out by Councilor Davis.
      i) Councilor Davis: I will talk about that presently.
   h) Fac: When do you expect to have the study document available to send out to neighbors?
      i) J: As soon as we learn the study area boundaries from FWNA residents.
      ii) Fac: So, conceivably we could determine the area tonight.
      iii) J: Yes, so basically very soon, not even a week or two out from the time that information is provided to me.

2) Q & A
   a) N: Do we need buy-in from 51% of those impacted, or of the entire Fair West Neighborhood?
      i) J: 51% of those who live on the streets that would get the permitting.
      ii) Fac: So 51% of the area of focus.
   b) N: If we don’t get 51%, then what?
      i) J: It doesn’t get approved.
   c) N: If we choose, say, 3 streets, and get 51% of the first two streets, but less than 51% of the third street?
      Could we then get the first two streets only?
      i) Councilor Davis: However it is that you define your area, it needs to be consistent and contiguous. Can’t,
         say, skip a block. That’s to help the enforcement folks figure out how to enforce it.
   d) N: Where in the statute does it say that 51% is required?
      i) J: I will email the statute language to Kat by tomorrow.
e) N: If we have questions about the statute, whom do we ask?
   i) Councilor Davis: You should get a petition from DMD. There will be a person in DMD who will manage the process.
   ii) J: You could contact me with questions: Jason Downing – 505-924-3944, jdowning@cabq.gov
f) N: Where does the money for the permitted parking come from? Where does it get taken away from if used for this purpose?
   i) Councilor Davis: Until we know how big the area is and the total cost, we don’t know.
      (1) By ordinance, the neighborhood association (NA) must raise the money themselves; it’s usually done as part of the NA fee process.
      (2) However, on a one-time basis, I’ve gotten City Council dollars for this project.
      (3) Monday last week I added an amendment setting aside $750,000 for streets and sidewalks. The money will come from that fund.
      (4) Depending on how much this costs, it will defer maintenance of other projects within my district. If you permit the entire FWNA, the cost would be about $150,000. In future years, NA residents would have to pay for those permits.
   ii) Fac: I’m hearing that you want to make it clear that the neighbors understand that this does not set a precedent for how permit parking is funded. Normally, the NA pays for this.
g) N: I’m confused why Jim submitted the entire neighborhood as the focus. At the last meeting, we had quite a detailed discussion about making Domingo the southern boundary, Alvarado the likely western boundary, and Roma the northern boundary.
   i) N: I’m the former Vice President of FWNA. My understanding is that it just made sense to do a traffic study of the whole neighborhood. That’s because there are year-round issues regarding traffic in the whole neighborhood. It’s a separate issue and this study can be used to address both issues.
h) N: Isn’t the area that was restricted during State Fair in the past working? The people who were receiving permits were not complaining—so why not go with that same area?
   i) N: I’m not sure that permanent permits will be received the same way. For example, the folks west of Cardenas may not want it, because it’s a permanent restriction on parking.
   ii) Fac: At the last meeting it was decided that FWNA would be considering permanent permits, not temporary ones like historically. So, the choices available are either a) permanent permits or b) no permits.
   i) N: If the permit cost comes back to the NA, how much would that cost? What is the future cost to the NA?
      i) J: Part of the verification process for permits is to renew them. The City is working on updating the permit system. There’s a portion that could allow for more efficient permitting, based on the license plate of specific vehicles. It’s still being worked out.
      ii) Councilor Davis: The City is trying to put this online. Under the permit parking system, each single-family household gets 2 permits plus driveway space. Renters are somewhat different.
      iii) There is no cost to renew an existing permit; but if a sign gets knocked down, or if the area is expanded in the future, the NA would pay for that.
   iv) N: How much will it cost to replace a sign?
      (1) Councilor Davis: I don’t know because it hasn’t happened in the 3 neighborhoods in my district.
   j) N: So, you pay for the initial permit, but there’s no cost to renew the permit. Does getting a new vehicle mean a new permit?
      i) J: Right now permits are associated with an address AND a vehicle. But we’re changing that.
      ii) Fac: This is important information to share with the neighbors during the petition process.
k) N: I foresee a problem, because most often our vehicles park in the driveway. It’s guests that need the passes. If the passes are associated strictly with a vehicle, how do we accommodate guests?
   i) J: Because these are permanent permits, the permits are associated with vehicles—each single-family household gets two residential permits and one guest permit.

3) Recapping what we’ve learned:
   a) Fac: At our first facilitated meeting, we came up with three requests that stood out the most:
      Signs and barricades, Create permanent permit parking, and Install a pullout area for drop-offs
i) Signs and barricades have been agreed to and funded. We are working on the process for getting permanent permit parking. And, we have good news on the request for a pullout area for drop-offs from Bill Nordin, CFO of EXPO

ii) Bill Nordin, CEO of NM Expo (B):
   (1) Regarding a drop-off area, we already have one that is also used by Uber, Lyft, and City buses.
   (2) Families seem to drop off passengers wherever they want to, but they have the same option to use this drop-off area.
   (3) The designated location is shown on the map.
      (a) They should use the entrance on California, loop around to Domingo, and leave via San Pedro.
      (b) It’s all on Expo property and avoids them having to stop in your neighborhood.
      (c) It’s right next to the carnival.
   (4) In the past, we have not publicized this enough. So, this year we are going to publicize this more.
      (a) N: The question came from businesses along San Pedro, because it impacts their businesses.
      (b) B: As you can see from the satellite image, the business lots on San Pedro are completely full—probably not employees! Seems to be an entrepreneurial venture. So, while we can set up a place for drop-offs; we can’t make people use it.
   (5) Fac: So, you’re saying we don’t need a cutout, and that this year the Fair will publicize its existing drop-off area more than before.
   (6) Dan Mourning, General Manager, State Fair (Dan): The drop-off gate has been in place for the past 4 years; it just takes a while for people to learn about it.
      (a) I have a photo from 1972 that shows cars parked all thru the Fair West neighborhood. This is not a new phenomenon. I applaud the Mayor and Councilor for their work and cooperation.
   (7) N: There’s no Park N Ride on Dollar Day—could that be added?
      (a) Dan: We will have to discuss that with the City. We’re not sure the mall parking lot is available during the week. This would have to be worked out. When we talk about cutouts—Gate 4—just north of Copper – There’s also State Police posted there on San Pedro to help people. We will ask them to enforce the jaywalking restrictions. Enforcement is probably the most critical thing that could help the situation. We will look into doing something about Dollar Day. We want to be part of your community, and part of the solution, not part of the problem.

b) N: You mentioned more publicizing of the drop-off location. I appreciate that the State Fair website says “no parking in FWNA”—can that also be added to the publicity?
   i) Dan: You bet. We will publicize the need to be respectful of the neighborhoods. We want the parking to be on State Fair property.
   ii) Fac: I’m going to add these as action items on the list to serve as a reminder.

c) N: If we do get the permanent permits, could you put that in the ad, too? And mention enforcement, too.
   i) Dan: We will also be adding that for all other events during the year.

d) N: How much parking is there at Expo NM? How many people do you expect?
   i) Dan: I believe 8,000 spaces.
   ii) B: On the last Saturday could be 65,000 people that attend the fair, but not all at one time. Also, many park at the mall.
   iii) Dan: People also park at the businesses along San Pedro.

e) N: Since the city keeps growing, I want to know if there’s enough capacity parking on site at NM Expo.
   i) Fac: I’m also hearing a lot of creative thinking on how to mitigate the need for parking.
   ii) Dan: This used to be a 17-day fair. Now it’s about 10 days long. Albuquerque is growing—that’s good. Park N Ride has not been around since 2008. I’m loving the support of Councilor Davis and the Mayor to help us identify other offsite areas. We’re working on this.

4) Petition Process
   a) Fac: By when are the results of the petition process needed?
      i) Councilor Davis: It will take the contractor about 30 days to install the signs—must start by August 1st. The work order must go out mid-July. Here’s the process: you all have to go door to door and get 51%
support. Someone from City must verify the petitions. Then City will send out the letter to the residents. That normally takes 60 days. Because we recognize the time pressure, we will accelerate the process, but can accept the petitions no later than mid-July.

ii) Fac: So if FWNA gets the petitions to the City by July 15, then the City will verify the petitions and then the City will send out a letter by August 1st.

iii) Councilor Davis: Contractor must start work no later than August 1st.

b) N: We talked about an amendment to the petition in the case that part of a street doesn’t want to be included. If that occurs, how much will it slow down the process?

i) Councilor Davis: We don’t want to make this more complicated than it needs to be. We’ll take direction based on tonight’s decision. If at the end of the day, an end block doesn’t want to participate, we can drop that block.

c) Fac: Councilor, regarding the petition, how is the wording arrived at to make it legally appropriate?

i) Councilor Davis: I’m going to draw what you ask for on a map and send it to my lawyers and let them draw up the wording. Re 51%, 51% of what? Of vehicles? Of addresses? Or of blocks? We think it should be 51% of the addresses in the defined area.

d) Fac: Should there be an informational pamphlet accompanying the petition?

i) Councilor Davis: The information is on the website—we will print the page and you can pass it on to FWNA.

e) N: I want to clarify what you said—you will print off the information for us so we don’t have to pay for the printing?

i) Councilor Davis: We will give you a master and you will have to print copies.

5) Design our action plan:

a) Fac: In light of the tight schedule to complete the permit parking petition, we will not consider any other items tonight; instead, we will focus exclusively on a decision about boundaries of the petition area.

b) Fac: What are the boundaries of the area that’s going to be petitioned?

i) N: To the south: the north side of Domingo—south of there you get into lots of apartments, hundreds of people. To the north: the south side of Roma To the east: San Pedro. To the west: I’m not sure.

ii) N: I support that. The west boundary should be the east side of Alvarado.

iii) N: Why just the east side of Alvarado? Why not both sides? I support both sides.

iv) N: I suggest both sides of Domingo and Alvarado. And make the north boundary at Lomas.

v) N: If you say you include San Pedro, the businesses along San Pedro will say NO. I would say to the east: Cagua, instead of San Pedro.

(1) Councilor Davis: By definition, the businesses are excluded; this is only about residential permits.

vi) N: I don’t think it should go further west than Cardenas, both sides; maybe not even Cardenas. Possibly on the north and south sides of Copper, it should go all the way to Alvarado.

c) N: If we included as far as Alvarado in the petition area, but if people on Valencia and Alvarado say NO, then can we adjust the area under consideration?

i) Councilor Davis: It’s easier to shrink than to expand it. If Valencia and Alvarado are “maybes,” let’s set up separate petitions for each of those streets so they can be easily computed.

ii) N: How do vacant properties affect the 51%?

(1) Councilor Davis: A vacant property doesn’t count as long as the building is on the vacant building register.

(2) N: There are a number of vacant properties that I’m quite sure are not on the City list, but are nonetheless vacant.

(3) Councilor Davis: If the vote is really close, we will sort through that with you. If you find a vacant house, make a note so we can follow up later.

iii) N: Enforcement would only be the 11 days of the State Fair, right?

(1) N: No, it’s year-round. Like UNM, etc.

iv) N: For rentals, who is asked – the landlord or the tenant?

(1) Councilor Davis: It’s whoever occupies the home—they must have a lease.

v) N: A friendly amendment: exclude addresses on San Pedro—so include e.g. San Juan all the way to San Pedro.
d) Fac: Is anyone opposed to making Lomas the boundary on the north? (No.) Is anyone opposed to Domingo as the south boundary? (No.) Is anyone opposed to the east boundary being San Pedro, not including businesses? (No.) How about the west boundary being Cardenas? (Hands go up.)

e) Some discussion ensues. It is suggested, with Councilor’s approval, that there be 3 separate petition areas as follows:

i) The north boundary of all three areas is the same: Lomas. Note that there are no residences on Lomas, but by making Lomas the boundary, all the residences on the north-south streets up to Lomas are included in the area.

ii) The south boundary of all three areas is the same: Domingo, both sides of the street.

iii) First area: San Pedro to Cardenas.

(1) The east boundary is San Pedro. Note that there are no residences on San Pedro, but by making San Pedro the boundary, all the residences on the east-west streets up to San Pedro are included in the area.

(2) The west boundary is Cardenas, both sides of the street.

iv) Second area: Cardenas to Valencia.

(1) The east boundary is Cardenas.

(2) The west boundary is Valencia, both sides of the street.

v) Third area: Valencia to Alvarado.

(1) The east boundary is Valencia.

(2) The west boundary is Alvarado, both sides of the street.

f) N: I’d like us to consider an additional area, which is Copper all the way to Alvarado. Even if the residents of Valencia and Alvarado don’t want parking on the north-south street, I know there is support for restricting parking along Copper. Can that be done?

i) Councilor Davis: If you decide to do the three strips and if Valencia and Alvarado say NO, but if the people on Copper want to extend it all the way to Alvarado, we will consider a way to do it.

g) Facilitator calls for a show of support on the above-listed 3 areas, using thumbs up. Most attendees are in support. 3 did not give a thumbs up.

h) Fac: Let’s hear from those who are not in support. What are your reservations?

i) N1: My concern is that if the permit boundary is, say Valencia, then fairgoers will simply move one street west and the parking problem will spill over onto Alvarado. My concern is the speed of traffic on Alvarado.

(1) FWNA President: We are working on the speed issue separately.

ii) N2: I’m still thinking about the proposal.

iii) N3: This has nothing to do with me, as I am a business owner, and not a resident, so I abstain.

i) **Decision on parameters of petition area:**

Note:

The north boundary of all three areas is the same: Lomas.

Note that while there are no residences on Lomas, by making Lomas the boundary, all the residences on the north/south streets up to Lomas are included in the area.

The south boundary of all three areas is the same: Domingo, both sides of the street.

i) First area: San Pedro to Cardenas.

(1) The east boundary is San Pedro. Note that there are no residences on San Pedro, but by making San Pedro the boundary, all the residences on the east/west streets up to San Pedro are included in the area.

(2) The west boundary is Cardenas, both sides of the street.

ii) Second area: Cardenas to Valencia.

(1) The east boundary is Cardenas.

(2) The west boundary is Valencia, both sides of the street.

iii) Third area: Valencia to Alvarado.

(1) The east boundary is Valencia.

(2) The west boundary is Alvarado, both sides of the street.
6) Petition process schedule:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By When</th>
<th>Priority # &amp; Task</th>
<th>How</th>
<th>By Whom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/13</td>
<td>Write up parameters and send to Kat, Jason, Pat</td>
<td></td>
<td>Leslie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Close of business 6/19</td>
<td>Develop the petition(s).</td>
<td>Send to Patty.</td>
<td>Jason and Pat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/19</td>
<td>Plan the petition canvassing process.</td>
<td>Team leaders for the petitions.</td>
<td>Kathy, Paul, Colton, Dayna, Patty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/15</td>
<td>Complete the canvas/petitioning.</td>
<td></td>
<td>FWNA board</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/23/19</td>
<td>Next meeting – results of petition and enforcement (need Parking Enforcement to attend)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Kat will schedule</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7) Recap what we’ve agreed to:

   a) The area(s) to be considered for permanent permit-only parking within FWNA:
      i) The neighborhood has requested that three separate areas be surveyed by petition to determine level of support for permanent permit-only parking within FWNA.
      ii) Councilor Davis stated that if there is not support throughout an entire area, it would be possible to further restrict the permitted section based on the petition results. A smaller area where there is at least 51% support might be given permit only parking, with the caveat that the smaller area must be contiguous; no skipping blocks.

8) Request for separate consideration of Copper Ave between San Pedro and Alvarado
   (a) Neighbors felt that even if there is insufficient support for permit-only parking west of Cardenas, that there would likely be support for permit-only parking on Copper all the way from San Pedro to Alvarado.
   (b) Councilor Davis stated that if petition results supported permit-only parking on Copper, based on the opinions of neighbors whose residences line both sides of Copper, he would consider a way to implement restrictions there.

9) Next steps:
   a) Councilor Davis will provide information about the petition process from City website.
   b) Councilor Davis will provide the language of each of the three petitions.
   c) FWNA board will organize and complete the petition canvas by July 15.
   d) State Fair management will include additional information about parking restrictions, enforcement, and the drop-off area in the State Fair advertising.
   e) State Fair management will take steps to work with the city to get Park and Ride for Dollar day this year

10) Facilitator’s Summary of responses to neighbors’ proposed remedies from facilitated meeting #1:
    There were 5 remedies for parking and traffic suggested by the participants at the first meeting:
    a) Signs and barricades
       i) Councilor Davis has let us know that is handled.
    b) Paint the curbs on Copper yellow or install no parking” signs there.
       i) We have learned that is not possible.
    c) Permanent permit parking
       i) Tonight we’ll get that process initiated by organizing the necessary petition process to get the 51% approval of the affected neighborhoods
    d) Parking enforcement, including providing at least 4 PSAs to cover the neighborhood during the entire 10 days of the fair.
       i) We need more clarification about enforcement, but not tonight. Enforcement is a topic for the next meeting.
e) Traffic mitigation – designated drop-off area & Install speed bumps on all perimeter streets: Cagua, Domingo, Roma, Ortiz, & Laguayra
   i) Tonight we learned that the drop-off area is already in place.
   ii) Speed bumps on referenced streets are not possible for various reasons

11) Time & location for next meeting: July 23, 6:30pm, location TBD.

Meeting Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FWNA</th>
<th>Area Business Owner</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sônia Bendorf</td>
<td>Pierre Gonzales</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Brennan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trish Brennan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dayna Crawford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colton R. Dean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Huff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patty Keane – Board VP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorothy Kerwin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Betty Kirby</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharon Lawson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Ryland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Sanchez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KC Titsworth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katherine Turner – Board President</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CABQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pat Davis – City Councilor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Jason Downing, DMD – Div. Mgr, Parking/Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NM State Fair/EXPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dan Mourning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Nordin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Addendum A: Completed action items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Completed Action Items</th>
<th>By When</th>
<th>What</th>
<th>By Whom</th>
<th>Status &amp; Next steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/12/19</td>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Write a letter to Councilor Davis requesting the money for barricades ($2,000 for barricades and $3,000 for enforcement).</td>
<td>Fair West N.A. (FWNA) Board</td>
<td>DONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>When appropriate</td>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Offer edit to Mayor’s budget to include $5,000 for State Fair 2019 barricades and enforcement.</td>
<td>Councilor Davis</td>
<td>DONE Councilor Davis found the money, although from a different source.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/12/19</td>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Provide link on how to initiate traffic study requests to Kathleen Oweegon for the report.</td>
<td>Councilor Davis</td>
<td>DONE and included in last meeting’s report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/19/19</td>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Identify the area to be surveyed and request a study from DMD and cc Pat. Include a request for money for permanent signs.</td>
<td>FWNA board</td>
<td>DONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD</td>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Find out when results of traffic engineering study will be available and communicate this to Jim from FWNA.</td>
<td>Jason from DMD</td>
<td>DONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 days after results of traffic study received</td>
<td>14.</td>
<td>Provide Kathleen Oweegon with the traffic engineering study results when they are available so she can schedule the next meetings.</td>
<td>Jim from FWNA</td>
<td>DONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once traffic study results available</td>
<td>15.</td>
<td>Schedule meeting to help neighbors organize a petitioning plan for the neighborhood.</td>
<td>Kathleen Oweegon</td>
<td>DONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAP after FWNA annual meeting</td>
<td>16.</td>
<td>Send minutes from the FWNA annual meeting to Kathleen Oweegon.</td>
<td>Jim from FWNA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>